

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,134	03/21/2001	Anton Prantl	P 279140 37795/GA	1443
7:	590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Kevin E. Joyce			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
PO Box 1750 Edgewater, MI	D 21037-7750		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dugowalor, wi	21001 7700		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/813,134	PRANTL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael P Nghiem	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppelExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment ·			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	. •			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. ☐ Other:						
MICHAEL NGHIEM MICHAEL NGHIEM						
MICHAEL TO THE PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040213

Applicant(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/813,134

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to claims 1, 2, and the Figure raise issue of new matter: "... commonly connecting outputs of a plurality of A/D's to a digital signal processor by interfacing ..." (claims 1, 2) and an interface device (Figure). Even though interfacing means such as multiplexing, switching, or bus system is well known in the art, it is not a part of applicants' original invention.